

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/039,292	01/03/2002	Thomas Edward Cezeaux	338528008US1	8337		
28524	7590 09/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SIEMENS C	ORPORATION	HOYE, MIC	HOYE, MICHAEL W			
INTELLECTU	JAL PROPERTY DEPA	ARTMENT				
170 WOOD A	VENUE SOUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ISELIN, NJ 08830			2623	2623		
		DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/039,292	CEZEAUX ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael W. Hoye	2623		

	Michael W. Hoye	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (lidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)\			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		IE below);				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.			
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
JOHN MILLER						
		V DATENT EYAMINE	R ·			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to independent claims 39 and 51 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.